# The place of radiation therapy in head and neck cancer

#### SANDRO V PORCEDDU

SENIOR RADIATION ONCOLOGIST, CANCER SERVICES, PRINCESS ALEXANDRA HOSPITAL ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND







Princess Alexandra Hospital

## Disclaimer

- The copy in this file is protected by the copyright of the author or authors. Consent was provided for the express purpose of educating attendees of the 2012 Registrar's Conference in Brisbane.
- You **MAY NOT** copy or distribute the contents or images in any form.
- You **MAY PRINT** the document for your own personal use as an educational resource.

## Head and Neck Cancer

- 3% of all cancers
- 5% of cancer-related deaths
- Commonly mucosal SCC
- Smoking related
- Increasing incidence of HPV-related HNC







#### Radiotherapy Types

#### • External Beam

Linear Accelerator
Photons

• Electrons



## **Radiotherapy Types**

#### • Brachytherapy

- o Seeds
- Needles
- o Wire
- o Plaques





### Radiotherapy delivery

• 2 Dimensional





## Radiotherapy delivery

#### • 3 Dimensional





# Radiotherapy delivery

#### • Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy (IMRT)



#### Radiotherapy Dose/fractionation

# Conventional Fractionation 1.8-2.0 Gy per day 5/week

#### Altered Fractionation

 Hyperfractionation <1.8-2.0y/day</li>
Hypofractionation >1.8-2.0Gy/day
Accelerated <7 weeks (definitive Tx) <6 weeks (post-op Tx)</li>

## Radiotherapy Doses

• Elective Nodal Bed

50Gy/25#

- Surgical Bed 54Gy/27#
- Resected Disease (Ro)

60Gy/30#

- Resected Disease (R1)
- Definitive Disease

66Gy/33#

70Gy/35#



#### Acute Toxicity

- Lethargy
- Loss of facial hair
- Otitis externa and media
- Skin reaction
- Salivary changes

- Mucositis
- Taste changes
- Odema
- Dysphagia
- Odynophagia

### Late effects (>30days)

- Fatigue
- Hair loss
- Hearing impairment
- Skin atrophy & hypopigmentation
- Xerostomia
- Trismus

- Mucosal atrophy and telangectasia
- Altered taste
- Lymphodema
- Dysphagia
- Subcutaneous fibrosis and atrophy

#### Late effects (>30days): less Common

- Osteoradionecrosis
- Brachial plexopathy
- Myelopathy
- Thyroid Dysfunction
- Second cancer





#### Indications for PORT in HNC

- M- Margins  $+ \le 5 \text{ mm}^*$
- **U** Undifferentiated (salivary gland)
- **L** Lymph nodes  $\geq 2, \geq 3$  cm
- **T** T3, T4
- I- Immunosuppressed
- **P** Perineural infiltration
- L- LVSI
- I- Invasion >4mm depth (oral cavity)
- **E** Extracapsular nodal extension\*
- **R** Recurrence

\*Post-op chemo-RT

## Treatment intensification; rule of thumb

- T + N = 1 Single modality treatment Surgery or conventional RT
- T + N = 2 Altered fractionated RT
- T+ N > 3# Cl
- Chemo-RT or surgery/PORT

 # Consider Altered fractionation RT alone T3No tonsil superficial & T2N1 Oropharyngeal p16+

#### Principles in management of LAHNSCC

Functional outcome

Is it worth preserving? What is the functional deficit

- Can I obtain clear macroscopic margins
- Aim to use the least number of modalities to obtain the required clinical outcome
- Biological profile of tumour (eg p16 status)

### Where surgery is favoured

- Patient preference
- Previous head and neck XRT
- Site; oral cavity & hypopharynx
- Early cancer where risk of nodal disease is low (<10%); Superficial (< 5mm) oropharynx T1 lesion, T1-2 glottic SCC
- Very advanced disease; obstructive airway symptoms, bilateral vocal cord palsies, destroyed larnygeal cartilage & mandible/bone invasion







70Gy over 7 weeks Cisplatin (100mg/m<sup>2</sup>) weeks 1, 4, 7



Discuss the role of chemo-radiotherapy in the management of a T2N2b tonsil SCC (p16+) Discuss the role of chemo-radiotherapy in the management of a T2N2b tonsil SCC (p16+)

"There are, in fact, two things, science and opinion; the former begets knowledge, the latter ignorance."

Hippocrates 2000 yrs ago

## Discuss the role of chemo-radiotherapy in the management of a T2N2b tonsil SCC (p16+)



- 2 major roles for CT-RT in this clinical scenario either PORT or as definitive therapy
- Minimal role for chemo-RT as pre-operative therapy and no established role for adjuvant chemotherapy

- No randomised evidence comparing surgery/postoperative(chemo)RT vs definitive chemo-RT
- Decision of which modality to use is based on functional outcomes and perceived curative rates.

Post-operative Chemo-RT

- In LAHNSCC the outcomes with surgery alone or RT alone are poor 30-40% 5 yr survival
- Surgery and PORT improves this to 40-70% 5 yr survival
- 2 randomised trials in high-risk patients have shown a benefit of post-op chemo-RT vs PORT

#### **Definitive Chemo-RT**

 The standard of care for organ-preservation curative management of LAHNSCC is *concurrent chemotherapy and RT* consisting of high-dose cisplatin (100mg/m<sup>2</sup>/iv) weeks 1,4 & 7 and RT (70Gy/35#/7 weeks)

RTOG 91-11 (Forestiere NEJM 2003) Pignon et al Meta-analysis 2000



547 pts randomised

#### <u>2 year intact larynx</u>

75%

88%

70%

- induction cispl/5FU→ RT cispl\* & RT RT alone
- Overall survival no different
- Cisplatin iv 100mg/m<sup>2</sup> weeks 1,4 & 7<sup>\*</sup>

Forestiere et al NEJM 2003

# Management of a T2N2b tonsil SCC (p16+) Definitive Chemo-RT

- Limited functional outcome data with these studies
- Concurrent chemo-RT increases morbidity (Machtay JCO 08)

#### Impact of Toxicity on Function



Induction Chemotherapy

- This remains controversial!
- Theoretical benefit of reducing the tumour burden prior to definitive treatment and addressing subclinical distant mets
- Theoretical disadvantage is the increase in overall treatment time and patients may end up too sick to receive the definitive treatment



#### **Induction Chemotherapy**

- 2 recent randomised trials comparing induction T (docetaxel), P (cisplatin), F (5 Fluorouracil) with PF induction chemotherapy followed by definitive RT (Vermorken and Posner NEJM 2007)
- Both studies demonstrated superior disease free and overall survival with induction TPF compared with induction PF
- Neither study used standard definitive concurrent chemoRT
- Increased toxicity lowered the compliance with treatment

Patients ineligible for high dose cisplatin

- Altered fractionated RT alone (Bouhris Lancet 2006)
- Cetuximab (systemic) and RT\* (Bonner NEJM 2006)
- Weekly low dose cisplatin (30mg/m<sup>2</sup>) (No quality data)
- Concurrent carboplatin/5FU given weeks 1,4 and 7

## Cetuximab in HNC

- Cetuximab is an anti-epidermal growth factor inhibitor, EGF is over-expressed in 90% of HNSCC
- Superior outcomes with cetuximab/RT compared with RT alone (LRC and OS)
- No increased "in-field" toxicity
- Acneiform Rash (outcomes better with rash)
- Australia available on PBS only for Cisplatin-ineligible patients

#### Human Papilloma Virus

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### Human Papillomavirus and Survival of Patients with Oropharyngeal Cancer

K. Kian Ang, M.D., Ph.D., Jonathan Harris, M.S., Richard Wheeler, M.D., Randal Weber, M.D., David I. Rosenthal, M.D., Phuc Felix Nguyen-Tân, M.D., William H. Westra, M.D., Christine H. Chung, M.D., Richard C. Jordan, D.D.S., Ph.D., Charles Lu, M.D., Harold Kim, M.D., Rita Axelrod, M.D., C. Craig Silverman, M.D., Kevin P. Redmond, M.D., and Maura L. Gillison, M.D., Ph.D.

N ENGLJ MED 363;1 NEJM.ORG JULY 1, 2010



### HPV-related oropharyngeal SCC

- p16+ patients have favourable outcomes with either surgery/PORT or chemo-RT (Ang KK NEJM 2010)
  - Low risk (p16+, non-smoker), chemoRT 82% 3yr survival

#### **Recommendation**

- Favour chemo-RT due to high cure rate >80% (p16+)
- Pre-therapy staging PET
- High-dose cisplatin wks 1,4,& 7 (cetux if ineligible for cisplatin) with IMRT (70GY)
- If patient achieves a complete response at the primary site and neck based on the 12 week re-staging PET no further treatment required

#### Chemoradiotherapy in HNC

- Chemo-radiotherapy can be used as post-operative treatment or definitive (curative) treatment
- No randomised studies comparing definitive surgery/PORT vs chemo-radiotherapy
- Decision made on perceived functional outcome and potential cure rate
- Concurrent Chemo-radiotherapy is the standard of care for LAHNC when surgery is not used

#### Chemoradiotherapy in HNC

Induction chemotherapy followed by chemo-RT remains controversial

• Cetuximab is considered the alternative drug combined with RT when patients ineligible to cisplatin

• p16 (HPV) oropharyngeal SCC has excellent outcomes with RT

# Randomised trials chemo-RT vs RT mucosal H&N SCC

Study	Pts	Risk Feature	Treatment	Outcome (CT-RT)	
Bachaud 1996	88	ECE	Cisplat 50mg 65-74Gy	5yr LRC Sig (59%) 5yr DFS Sig (70%) 5yr OS Sig (36%)	
RTOG NEJM 2004	459	ECE + margins <u>&gt;</u> 2 nodes	Cisplat 100mg/m <sup>2</sup> wks 1,4,7 60-66Gy	2yr LRC NS (82%) 2yr DFS Sig (54%) 2yr OS NS (63%)	
EORTC NEJM 2004	334	T3-4, N2-3 ECE, PNI	Cisplatin 100mg/m <sup>2</sup> wks 1,4,7 66Gy	5yr LRC     Sig (79%)       5yr PFS     Sig (47%)       5yr OS     Sig (53%)	

Post-op chemo-RT superior to RT alone in high risk patients

## Altered fractionation meta-analysis

- 15 Randomised trials comparing conventional RT vs Altered fractionation RT (6515 pts)
- Significant benefit in favour of Altered Fractionation at 5 years
  - Absolute survival benefit of 3.4%
  - Absolute loco-regional control benefit of 6.4%

**Bourhis J et al Lancet 2006** 

## Meta-analysis chemo-RT vs RT Phase III HNSCC Trials from 1965

Therapy Modality	Absolute benefit at 5 years*	Risk Reductior	ו* <b>P</b>
All (N=17,493)	4.1 %	10 %	< 0.0001
Adjuvant	2.3 %	2 %	NS
Neoadjuvant	2.2 %	5 %	NS
Concurrent	<b>6.9</b> %	19 %	< 0.0001

\*Relative to Conventional Local-Regional Therapy Pignon & Bourhis, Lancet, 2000

#### Role of induction chemotherapy

Early larynx preservation studies
VA study NEJM 1991
EORTC JNCI 1996

#### • Induction chemotherapy (Cisplatin/5FU)

• Responders had definitive RT

- Non-responders had surgery/PORT
- Larynx preservation rate 66% at 2 years
- No difference in survival

## Role of induction chemotherapy

- 2 recent NEJM publications
  - Posner et al 2007\*
  - Vermorken et al 2007
- Compared induction cisplatin/5FU (PF) to docetaxel (T) & PF followed by RT
- One study had current chemotherapy (carboplatin) & RT\*